## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Case No. 0:18-cr-171-DSD-KMM

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Henry James Soll, III

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on motions for discovery and disclosure filed by Defendant Henry James Soll, III. The Court held a hearing on the Motions on September 13, 2018. Based on the motions that were filed, the written responses, and the arguments presented at the hearing, the Court enters the following Order.<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Mr. Soll's Motion for Disclosure of 404(b) Evidence (ECF No. 17)

Mr. Soll's motion for disclosure of Rule 404(b) evidence is **GRANTED**. The government shall disclose any evidence it intends to offer at trial pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at least **two weeks** before trial.

## 2. Mr. Soll's Motion for Disclosure of Favorable Evidence (ECF No. 18.)

Mr. Soll's motion for disclosure of favorable evidence is **GRANTED**. The government is obligated to disclose evidence favorable to Mr. Soll as required by *Brady* v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and their

.

The Court will separately issue a report and recommendation on Mr. Soll's Motion to Suppress Search and Seizure Evidence (ECF No. 22.)

progeny. These cases place an ongoing obligation on counsel for the government to

disclose exculpatory evidence and impeachment material to Mr. Soll.

3. Mr. Soll's Motions for Discovery (ECF Nos. 19–20)

Mr. Soll's motions for discovery and inspection are **GRANTED** to the extent they

seek discovery and disclosure consistent with Fed. R. Crim. P. 16. The government

shall continue to comply with its discovery and disclosure obligations.

4. Mr. Soll's Motion for Disclosure and Make Informant Available for

Interview (ECF No. 21.)

Mr. Soll's Motion to disclose the identity of the government's informant and to

make that informant available for interview is **DENIED WITHOUT** 

**PREJUDICE**. A defendant seeking the disclosure of the identity of the

government's informant must show that such disclosure is "material to the outcome

of his case." United States v. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, 239 F.3d 948, 951 (8th Cir. 2001). Mr.

Soll has not met this high burden here.

Date: September 14, 2018

s/Katherine Menendez

Katherine Menendez

United States Magistrate Judge

2